

Regulations of scientific articles review and publication

1. The editorial board reviews all incoming materials for its expert assessment. All reviewers are acknowledged experts on the subject of assessed materials and they have publications on the subject of assessed articles for the last 3 years. Reviews are stored in the publishing and editorial office for 5 years.

2. Articles and publications are reviewed by members of the editorial board and the editorial team with involving (where necessary) of leading experts of EMERCOM of Russia and reputable scientists from other Ministries and agencies.

3. Chief editor (deputy chief editor) determine the reviewers for each incoming article or publication.

4. Each article or publication reviewed by at least two reviewers.

5. Reviewers prepare written article review based on a comprehensive analysis of materials, the review should show:

- Article compliance to the magazine issues;
- The relevance of the submitted materials;
- The novelty of the submitted data;
- The accuracy and validity of the data;
- The use of mathematical statistics methods (significance of differences, correlations etc., the representativeness of the samples, and others.);
- Article style, meaningful logic of the article;
- Execution of article bibliography (with Russian Standard 7.0.5-2008 corresponding or not);
- Necessity of author's processing;
- Notes for completion of the article (eg, reduction of the volume, increase (decrease) of the number of tables or graphs, changing the proportions of experimental and theoretical parts, conclusions updating, practical recommendations, modification or edition of the title, clarifying the list of references, etc.);
- The recommended section of the magazine (general theoretical, technical or psychological problems);
- The general conclusion (to accept the material fit for publication, to accept the material unfit for publication, to recommend author to remove remarks or rearrange the article).

6. The reviewer examines the article and prepare a written review within 14 days. The review can be issued in any form with mandatory reporting information set in Sec. 5 hereof, or by using a formalized reviews form.

7. The reviewer gets the prepared review to the editor or the executive secretary of the editorial board (team).

8. Editorial office sends reviews copies or reasoned refusals to the authors of materials, and also undertakes to send reviews copies to the Ministry of Education and Science in case of request to the editorial office.